

## Appendix A - Public Outreach



## Summary of Comments

### Comments/Notes June 1-2, 2009

Conceptual plan presentation and Arapahoe County Staff comments via e-mail. (Duplicate comments represented as a single entry)

#### FAIRGROUNDS AREA

1. Livestock
  - ◆ Where is the livestock housed?
  - ◆ Stalling of horses is needed. Need more stall space for horses (have 40 spaces currently-need 80).
  - ◆ Address location of stables in relationship to amphitheater
  - ◆ Purpose of horse barn is to separate it from the fairgrounds
  - ◆ Vehicles, roads, noise, playground don't work with horses.
  - ◆ Buffer horse and Disc uses.
  - ◆ Is there enough space for livestock for the fair, wash racks, etc.?
  - ◆ Does having a horse barn lead to offering horse boarding which may require 24-hr access, possible caretaker or lead to a full fledged concession operation?
2. Exhibit Hall Expansion
  - ◆ Is there any way to connect the existing exhibit hall with the proposed expansion? –working through code we need to investigate further.
  - ◆ Concerned with food prep and animal uses in the same building – small animals ok? Type of kitchen is important.
  - ◆ Nice size meeting rooms
  - ◆ Looks like you could partition off the main area into four rooms.
  - ◆ Windows for seeing views.
  - ◆ Kitchen might be a little small.
  - ◆ Not sure why there is a corridor, maybe functional for reducing the noise with the main area to the meeting rooms.
3. CSU Extension/4-H
  - ◆ Separate CSU buildings.
  - ◆ CSU buildings should have a better view of the mountains than an indoor horse arena.
4. Shade Structure/Tent Pad Sites
  - ◆ Currently there is not enough room inside – propose temporary tents during large events.
  - ◆ Need tents directly adjacent to rings.
  - ◆ Provide more tent space for stalling.
5. Camping (RV and Tent)
  - ◆ RV needs – nobody tent camps anymore
  - ◆ Camping areas should include picnic facilities, shade, hook ups, and be adjacent to livestock.
  - ◆ Potential for grass pave for RV areas.
  - ◆ RV's should not be under electric lines.
6. Emergency/Security Facilities
  - ◆ Can the auxiliary piece for the sheriff be moved next to the sheriff's driving course
  - ◆ Don't want a buffer between law enforcement and fair-want sheriff visible for security.
  - ◆ Sheriff building should be co-located with the designated track
  - ◆ Don't show as training track but as parking which could be set-up for the periodic training (cones, paint, etc.)
  - ◆ Don't want to show Sheriff's Substation at Fair site. (nothing permanent or dedicated long-term)
  - ◆ Move Medical/Security building to Phase 1.
7. Circulation/Parking/Signage
  - ◆ How do we control two entrances?- one from Harvest and one from Quincy?
  - ◆ Should the arenas be close to parking so that it is easier to load and unload?
  - ◆ Standardize "sign monuments" should be at all vehicle entry points & on the property corner at Horizon/Quincy for both concepts.
8. Indoor/Outdoor Arena/Other Structures
  - ◆ Should the enclosed horse arena be adjacent to the outdoor arena and covered arena?
  - ◆ Need a corporate sized pavilion designed near the events center
  - ◆ As many multi-purpose buildings as possible.
9. Option A
  - ◆ I like the idea of a town green area for outdoor exhibits. On the one hand, I like the town green "surrounded" with buildings, similar to a courtyard (although that might reduce the amount of sun which might impact some of the outdoor exhibits). However, for energy conservation purposes, perhaps the orientation of the buildings in the "alternative" view that

maximizes the mountain views but minimizes the number of windows on the north side is a better orientation.

- ◆ Don't like the idea of combining the Sheriff's Department, Extension and Maintenance into one building.
- ◆ I don't get a good feel for the visual impact of the exhibit addition with the existing building.
- ◆ Parking looks to me to be at a premium and is mostly grass parking.
- ◆ Too much emphasis on "future" buildings.
- ◆ Limited ideas on outdoor exhibit areas
- ◆ Like the way the buildings are arranged.

10. Option B

- ◆ Like the location of the enclosed area next to existing building.
- ◆ Feels to North and South in the layout
- ◆ Don't like the idea of combining the Sheriff's Department, Extension and Maintenance into one building.

11. Option C

- ◆ Like the concept of an exhibit building next to the Extension/Sheriff building.
- ◆ Parking is closer to the action.
- ◆ Nice "park" outdoor exhibits area
- ◆ Looks like there is a covered arena and an enclosed arena.
- ◆ Don't like the RV location.
- ◆ Livestock to Rings is too far from each other.

REGIONAL PARK

1. Trails

- ◆ Only want soft trails - perhaps hard trail is just adjacent to Quincy.
- ◆ For the trail (at least the main trail that connects to regional trails), it needs to be accessible to horses.
- ◆ Will any of the bridges across the creek, possibly the one on the east side of the open space near the new road, have a kid boulder hopping type of bridge (I don't know what that is called)?
- ◆ For either concept the trail links suggested for future regional connections should be consistent; they currently vary from one drawing to another.

2. Passive Use Activities

- ◆ Turf may be an issue with active use (is this a breach of ordinance?)
- ◆ 18 disc golf holes seem excessive.
- ◆ Disc golf/disc golf is a nice idea because there aren't very many opportunities to do that in the metro area. However, snakes, cactus, and other sharp objects might make it more of a "rough" course.
- ◆ Half court basketball? No basketball?

3. Active Use/Pavilions/Restrooms

- ◆ Some active usage near a large covered pavilion/picnic area would have advantages. People might want to rent those areas for reunions, graduation parties, etc. (At Castlewood Canyon, they have horseshoes, volleyball, a playground, and maybe a few other activities adjacent to their pavilions.) So I'm OK with sand volleyball and horseshoes (less maintenance and fewer arguments/injuries) as opposed to basketball (more installation costs, maintenance, increased chances for vandalism, and higher chances for arguments).
- ◆ Any plans for underground utilities (potable water, electricity) to serve the active recreation sites and/or shelters?
- ◆ Need for rest room facilities at designated trail heads or parking lots? Especially if school groups are envisioned using the related amphitheater & trail systems.
- ◆ Need for a large picnic pavilion with support facilities (parking/rest room) that can be rented out.

4. Natural Areas

- ◆ Don't like turf along riparian areas.
- ◆ Small area of floodplain in northwest corner.
- ◆ Concern of large shelter in riparian areas.

5. Vehicular Circulation/Signage

- ◆ Harvest entrance is a concern.
- ◆ Horse trailer parking accessed from Harvest?
- ◆ OSTAB does not like turf or parking lot off of Harvest.
- ◆ Need horse trailer turnarounds in parking lot.
- ◆ I see multiple parking areas – how will we monitor folks parking there and walking to enter the Fair/Fairgrounds facilities? Paid parking – really?

- ◆ I like having some park signage near the entrance from Harvest Road.
  - ◆ What is “pay for parking”? Is this a future user fee system and if so who would be enforcing?
  - ◆ Consider ending the vehicle access road to active recreation site just pass the primary parking lot. Incorporate a turn around and/or add a gate to limit traffic from traveling through the entire area. A connector road could be there for use during special needs.
  - ◆ Question the need for an additional vehicular entrance to the fairgrounds through the open space area.
6. Proposed Ponds/Water Re-use
- ◆ If we end up with ponds they should be stocked for fly fishing.
7. Natural Play Area
- ◆ Like digging in natural plan and tree house idea
  - ◆ I love the tree house idea but not sure risk management would be ok with it. Is it really feasible?
  - ◆ Like the idea of the natural play area with a shade structure. I guess that I would like to hear more details. The tree house is great; Longwood Gardens just installed several really large tree house “mansions” and they are very popular with kids and adults. The digging area could incorporate archaeology and paleontology.
  - ◆ Mixed about whether I prefer the natural play area on the west side of the creek (where it might be a little more shaded, it’s adjacent to parking, near the trail head) vs. the east side of the creek and more connected to the other trails and the other playground. I’m trying to envision kids coming to parties at the big picnic pavilion (I’m think that there is one) and wanting to play at one of the playgrounds and parents trying to supervise, parents lugging food and water from cars, shade from the sun in the summer, proximity to the restrooms, and proximity to the other playground so that parents can properly supervise kids. I guess that vandalism is also an issue, so something that isn’t too secluded might be advantageous.
8. Dog Park
- ◆ Potential for dog park on distant 26 acres. No
  - ◆ Must be adequately fenced to keep dogs separate from livestock.
  - ◆ Prefer the dog park near the Harvest Road/Trail head with parking area.
  - ◆ Dog park areas will be little more than dirt areas after several years.
9. Educational Walk/Trail
- ◆ Like windmills up on the bluff.
  - ◆ Prefer the windmills in open areas along the trail in case any of them are operational.
  - ◆ Provide riparian and short grass prairie area educational signage or kiosks.
10. Amphitheater
- ◆ The outdoor amphitheater sounds great but with the crazy weather out there – is it really going to be used enough to get out money’s worth?
11. Option A
- ◆ How far away is this area away from bathrooms, parking, and picnic facilities in concept A?
  - ◆ Like having the creek shelter in the NW corner in Concept A
  - ◆ Like the trail layout in concept A is better with the exception that if there is a play area on the west side of the creek (as in concept B), that the trail would tuck in closer to the creek as shown in concept B.
  - ◆ Congested with windmill trail & active recreation site so close together, it seems “too busy”.
12. Option B
- ◆ Spreads out facility/recreation sites and therefore disperses users better than concept A.
13. Option C
- ◆ None

MARKET DEMAND ANALYSIS

1. Feasibility of a revenue neutral or even profitable facility
- ◆ Aurora as a partner?
  - ◆ Explore opportunities with Aurora Race Track as a partner.
  - ◆ Reference Centennial in final report.
  - ◆ Emphasize financially self sustaining aspects.
  - ◆ Provide analysis of current revenue versus expenses prior to final report.

2. Funding

- ◆ May be able to refinance note on existing building to finance west wing/exhibit hall (3.5 years into a 10 year mortgage)
- ◆ Use of Conservation Trust Money.
- ◆ Open Space Tax is good through 2013
- ◆ Heritage money used for maintenance of existing facility

OVERALL

1. Stress universal youth activities in addition to 4-H sponsored activities.
2. Preserve mountain views.
3. Need to confirm resolution compliance/flexibility.
  - ◆ Can funding be used for active recreation – volleyball, basketball?
  - ◆ Passive area doesn't have to be all in one contiguous space, that it might be possible to "trade" a few acres from the heritage, active space for the passive, open space.
4. Bring concept into compliance with mission statement and goals.
5. Would it be possible to walk the area with the plans (and possibly the representatives from BHA) so that we can better envision the various concepts? That might help with the fine tuning.

ARAPAHOE COUNTY STAFF

1. Confirm water rights.

**Comments/Notes June 6, 2009**

Arapahoe County Open Space Staff comments. (Duplicate comments represented as a single entry)

FAIRGROUNDS AREA

1. Livestock
  - ◆ Has manure management been addressed?
2. Exhibit Hall Expansion
  - ◆ We have huge need of the exhibit hall
3. CSU Extension/4-H
  - ◆ Put Ag Education area closer to the CSU extension office.
4. Shade Structure/Tent Pad Sites

- ◆ Tent pads discussed, wind issues – prefer to have permanent buildings for liability and weather reasons.

5. Camping (RV and Tent)

- ◆ Need to identify the need for camping areas and consider if we want to be in the camping business.
- ◆ Camping area should not interfere with other events.

6. Emergency/Security Facilities

- ◆ Sheriff building should be co-located with the designated track

7. Circulation/Parking/Signage

- ◆ Bob stressed the importance of taking into consideration what the visitor sees upon entering the grounds.
- ◆ Visitor flow with managed controls is very important
- ◆ In all concepts, paved and gravel parking should be close to the arenas – parking areas seem limited near arenas.
- ◆ There should be another paved parking area by the amphitheater
- ◆ Staff is somewhat split on where the parking should be at the main building (front or back). Need to decide which side to be the most proud of and offer to the public.
- ◆ More parking on south side of existing grass.

8. Indoor/Outdoor Arena/Other Structures

- ◆ As many multi-purpose buildings as possible.
- ◆ Functionality, how buildings will be used for the rest of the year.
- ◆ Sanitation needs must be recognized when planning all buildings – consideration of capacity driven issues.
- ◆ We prefer to keep the existing grass area on the south side of the buildings.

9. Option B

- ◆ Horse area is small on Concept B, management of horse use will be required

10. Option C

- ◆ Concept C is better as far as the facility
- ◆ Move all elements of the CSU office and substation over to the east in place of the east parking lot.
- ◆ Like the grass areas to look more like a courtyard. Like the multi purpose turf lawn – that area now serves as a retention pond – can

that be incorporated?

- ◆ Like the placement of the driving track
- ◆ Need a more defined camping area with access during events if it is economically feasible.
- ◆ Need tractor pull track... should this be in the same area as rodeo arena for dual uses?
- ◆ Location of the existing shade structure
- ◆ Can enclosed arena be oriented north/south to work with the turf area?
- ◆ Turn future exhibition orientation north/south to take advantage of the view with 4 windows.
- ◆ Parking seems limited at all arenas.

due to evaporation and pumping, pump water quality. Glen said the Highlands Ranch has ponds that are using reclaimed water and they have many challenges and issues with them.

#### 7. Natural Play Area

- ◆ Like digging in natural plan and tree house idea
- ◆ I love the tree house idea but not sure risk management would be ok with it. Is it really feasible?
- ◆ Like the idea of the natural play area with a shade structure. I guess that I would like to hear more details. The tree house is great; Longwood Gardens just installed several really large tree house "mansions" and they are very popular with kids and adults. The digging area could incorporate archaeology and paleontology.
- ◆ Mixed about whether I prefer the natural play area on the west side of the creek (where it might be a little more shaded, it's adjacent to parking, near the trail head) vs. the east side of the creek and more connected to the other trails and the other playground. I'm trying to envision kids coming to parties at the big picnic pavilion (I'm think that there is one) and wanting to play at one of the playgrounds and parents trying to supervise, parents lugging food and water from cars, shade from the sun in the summer, proximity to the restrooms, and proximity to the other playground so that parents can properly supervise kids. I guess that vandalism is also an issue, so something that isn't too secluded might be advantageous.

#### 8. Dog Park

- ◆ Dog parks are very difficult to maintain in a natural area unless well designed

#### 9. Educational Walk/Trail

- ◆ Like the idea of extending the windmill walk and not setting them so closely together. Glen felt it encouraged getting out to all of the windmills.

#### 10. Community Garden

- ◆ Is there a need for a Community Garden Plot? How would the management of that be addressed?
- ◆ Is it a 24 hour area or only during the daylight. Look at the activities like gardening and trail

### REGIONAL PARK

#### 1. Trails

- ◆ Like the internal trail loop.
- ◆ Would like to see a large loop trail around the property – see if that would need to include service roads.
- ◆ Wants to be sure we satisfy the needs of the trail users and guests and answer the question: why they would want to come here in the first place? Suggest a simple trail.
- ◆ Glenn – there are two kinds of trail users, local community and fair-goers. What would attract others to come and use the facilities?
- ◆ This should be a regional hub for trails along with Aurora. Larger loop connection to use horse, bike and pedestrian
- ◆ Design loop to minimize creek crossings.

#### 2. Passive Use Activities

- ◆ Disc golf is just a thought, it could be 9 holes, 18 holes or not used at all.
- ◆ Sledding hill discussed: do we need to label it? It will be used seldom, so just let occur naturally.

#### 3. Active Use/Pavilions/Restrooms

- ◆ Move large picnic pavilion closer to the central facility

#### 4. Natural Areas

- ◆ Nice open space off of Harvest Rd.

#### 5. Vehicular Circulation/Signage

- ◆ One entrance off of Harvest road is preferred instead of two

#### 6. Proposed Ponds/Water Re-use

- ◆ Pond(s). Discussion of the challenges of water

head to see if they need daylight hours to locate entrances. Who will manage the site? Now it is a reservation-based operation.

11. Option A

- ◆ Essentially it was decided that Concept A is preferred as the better Regional Park plan.

MARKET DEMAND ANALYSIS

1. Camping

- ◆ Is camping year round or most of the year included in the feasibility and marketing analysis? Did the analysis focus on that aspect enough to determine a solid need. This would be camping not involved with events occurring at the facility. If there is a need for open camping, the area would need to be better defined and access would need to be permitted outside of the event area if it is fenced.

NOISE ANALYSIS

1. Be sure all concepts have compatible uses, noise examined overall for all concepts.
2. Jim pointed out the berm to block the noise to neighborhoods from the amphitheater (Must be tall enough and correct distance from the neighborhoods.)

OVERALL

1. Need to confirm resolution compliance/flexibility.
  - ◆ Distinguish formal, casual and high/low impact activities; offer a range of experiences for the guest as long as we are in compliance with the resolution. Lower activity in the less dense areas. Formal hub and high impact activities.
2. How would the additional 27 acres south of the race track be used? Possible use as a bargaining tool for land trades.

**Comments/Notes June 22, 2009**

OSTAB meeting comments. (Duplicate comments represented as a single entry)

FAIRGROUNDS AREA

1. Emergency/Security Facilities

- ◆ Don't show as training track but as parking which could be set-up for the periodic training (cones, paint, etc.)
- ◆ Don't want to show Sheriff's Substation at Fair site. (nothing permanent or dedicated long-term).

**Comments/Notes July 21-22, 2009**

Comments on preferred concepts D1 & D2 from OSTAB, Steering Committee, Fair Planning Committee and BOCC. Underlined items represent BOCC generated comments. (Duplicate comments represented as a single entry)

FAIRGROUNDS AREA

1. Livestock

- ◆ Would be nice to have dressing room for 4-H near barn/stalls.

2. Exhibit Hall Expansion

- ◆ Consider silo for tower element instead of hay barn door feature.

3. CSU Extension/4-H

- ◆ Move CSU Extension building to Phase 1.

4. Camping (RV and Tent)

- ◆ Buffering need for proposed RV (year-round) location.
- ◆ Is RV allowed in Heritage Area? Discuss with County Attorney.

5. Emergency/Security Facilities

- ◆ Move Medical/Security building to Phase 1.

6. Circulation/Parking/Signage

- ◆ RV entrance could be from Powhatan.
- ◆ Is midway big enough for 28 rides?
- ◆ Save existing turf south of existing exhibit hall building.
- ◆ Main entrance should provide a sense of arrival.
- ◆ Midway – gravel or reinforced grass for parking during non-fair events?

7. Indoor/Outdoor Arena/Other Structures

- ◆ Need showers/bath house for Fair RV parking.

in 5 years 300-400k available annually after debt is paid.

## REGIONAL PARK

1. Passive Use Activities
  - ◆ Disc golf doesn't fit.
  - ◆ Disc golf – good for therapeutic purposes for some user groups.
  - ◆ Emphasize children – liked approach to children with special needs..
2. Dog Park
  - ◆ Potential for dog park on distant 26 acres.
  - ◆ Dog park use is inappropriate.

## OVERALL

1. County Open Space definition – may only allow trail (land use code snippet handed out by board member)
2. How long will Corp. of Engineers continue working on Aurora property?
3. What is Phase 1 budget?
4. Any opportunities for art?
  - ◆ Art should reflect heritage – especially in Fairgrounds
5. Discuss terminology to use (i.e. passive vs. undeveloped vs. active)
6. Show property acquisition in Phase 1.
  - ◆ Joint use or purchase of City of Aurora property to the east makes sense and is needed for Fairgrounds expansion.
7. Preference is for concept D2 – all three (3) Commissioners.
8. Other BOCC items discussed.
  - ◆ Kellogg Study: wanted compatible uses on the land, Fairgrounds is not paying for itself, Might operate at no cost, but does revenue pay for construction?
  - ◆ Need realistic numbers in Feasibility Study
  - ◆ Private Event Center – land trade, privately operated – is this an option?
  - ◆ CSU Extension may not happen at this site.
  - ◆ See this as a “Community Pride/Community Builder”
  - ◆ Master Plan seems so far from breaking ground.
  - ◆ Trail head access may be useful
  - ◆ Conservation Trust Money will be paid back

## Board of County Commissioners - Meetings

Official meeting minutes from each of the Board of County Commissioners meetings attended are on record and available at Arapahoe County. The Arapahoe County Fairgrounds and Regional Park project was presented at the following meetings.

1. June 2, 2009
2. July 21, 2009
3. September 29, 2009
4. October 27, 2009

## Comments 2009 FAIR

Compiled comments from 2009 Fair. Steering Committee members were available to answer questions and to solicit feed back by asking visitors to fill out a short, (3) three question, survey form. The survey form also provided an opportunity for any additional comments.

### QUESTION #1

#### Which concept do you prefer and why?

- ◆ D1
- ◆ D2 – Like horses
- ◆ D2 – but build D1 first
- ◆ D1 – It seems more natural and pleasant. I like the outdoor amphitheater.
- ◆ D2 – more to choose from, more to attract
- ◆ D2 – because of the trailer park and dog park
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D1
- ◆ D2 – I like the dog park and camping area
- ◆ D1 – More natural
- ◆ D2 – Dog park and RV camping spaces
- ◆ D1 – because of the openness of the design
- ◆ D1 – More natural, not making changes to surrounding area, may be cheaper to build
- ◆ D2 – the more diversity offered the more likely shows will be attracted
- ◆ D2 – RV for income, dog park, disc golf – love it!
- ◆ D2 – because of RV park and disc golf
- ◆ D2 – You will see a lot of use of the RV park, dog area and disc golf.
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D2 – dog park and more amenities
- ◆ D2 – more utilization by public of dog park and RV park. I like the trails.
- ◆ D2 – more activities
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D1
- ◆ D2 – seems to offer more for year-round community use
- ◆ D2 – RV park on grass is better
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D2 – I like the idea of a dog park.
- ◆ D2 – I prefer the design with the dog park and RV park.
- ◆ D2 – more diversity. I like a combination of 4-H & Ag education combined with trails & open spaces.
- ◆ D2 – I love the idea of the dog park. The campground could be a way to add funds.
- ◆ D2 – because of dog park, disc golf and drop-off area
- ◆ D2 – looks good
- ◆ D2 – I like both playgrounds
- ◆ D2 – the additional uses provided
- ◆ D2 – RV park, dog park, disc golf
- ◆ D2 – like the dog park and disc golf. We would use both.
- ◆ D2 – because it includes a RV camping spot. However, if you are looking into the future, you may want to consider placing RV across ...
- ◆ D2 – like RV staying, parking, disc golf
- ◆ D2 – The RV park sounds like a great idea. It would offer a needed service to the community and bring in revenue to help with expenses.
- ◆ D2 – I like the RV site for generating income and the activities (i.e. disc golf that would bring people in for the whole season
- ◆ D1 – more agriculture oriented
- ◆ D2 – More activities & usage of land allows for more diverse purposes
- ◆ D2 – I like the way the plan integrates the natural vista and terrain.
- ◆ D1 – appears to be more family friendly
- ◆ D2 – Like the idea of having RV parking and disc golf park

- ◆ D2 – has more versatility
- ◆ D1 & D2 – seems like phase 1 and phase 2
- ◆ D2 – I like the addition of the RV park because it would allow people with children to use the park more interplay.
- ◆ D2 – disc golf and more activities
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D2
- ◆ D2 – I really like the dog park and disc golf; something I would use.
- ◆ D2 – because it offers more activities for people with various interests.
- ◆ D1 – Open space kept more passive and educational since there will be lots of active areas in the vicinity
- ◆ D1 – because there is no dog area
- ◆ D1 – because I don't want to see the RV site
- ◆ D2 – because I like the idea of having an arboretum trail and having a dog park. I also think that the RV park will bring in extra revenue, plus a disc golf course would be cool.
- ◆ D1-D2 – they're about the same
- ◆ D1 – due to monetary constraints
- ◆ D2 – increased amenities would draw a more diverse and bigger group of citizens
- ◆ D1
- ◆ D2 – I like the additional amenities it will draw more traffic.
- ◆ Add RV park from concept 2 as it could bring in more revenue, add disc golf, make sure there are many trails for walking
- ◆ On the dog run there would need to be specific rules about clean-up and dog fighting.
- ◆ Plan for conference, regional and state cross country meets; sponsor cross country invitationals; paint ball area?
- ◆ Nothing. Maybe sledding hill
- ◆ Provide an area for model rockets to be launched.
- ◆ N/A
- ◆ N/A
- ◆ N/A
- ◆ None
- ◆ Except RV park maybe a water feature of some kind; add horse rental – yeah!
- ◆ Add dog park option; food vendor; water feature, sculpture garden
- ◆ Would like to see drive-in theater for warmer months.
- ◆ No disc golf.
- ◆ More walking area year-round like the whole area devoted to public; a water feature would be nice
- ◆ No disc golf.
- ◆ Looks good.
- ◆ Trees along midway road
- ◆ Dog park is too close to buildings and amphitheater
- ◆ None
- ◆ Old western days, and an old town concept, cowboys, and music. More parking.
- ◆ Add tent camping sites. You may want to place some sites away from RV area, near hiking trails for the urban backpacker. And, increase size of dog run area.
- ◆ Add horse parking, keep dog park only if properly supervised.
- ◆ Be sure to include large enough parking space on the trail side of the complex
- ◆ Add a skateboard and BMX bicycle venue
- ◆ Prioritize with income producing items first

QUESTION #2

**If you could make a change, what would you add or omit?**

- ◆ None
- ◆ Add a water splash feature for the kids to play in
- ◆ Add disc golf and dog park to D1
- ◆ Add tent camping area. Don't crowd the camp sites too close – give people room.
- ◆ Omit the Harvest Road access

- ◆ I would like to see an enhancement of the arboretum and prairie flower and landscape display on the open space side.
- ◆ I have kids, so anything with kids and playground area
- ◆ None
- ◆ Add places to sit, relax and an area to have picnics
- ◆ Add larger space for high school graduates.
- ◆ Add a dog park and make it a forest
- ◆ Add a fountain
- ◆ Indoor horse arena, indoor livestock arena, soft surface trails, less rocks for any show
- ◆ Whatever could be done with the money constraints
- ◆ If money is available build D2 with the RV park as a priority

QUESTION #3

**Once the Fairgrounds and Regional Park expansion is complete, list three (3) activities you would enjoy most.**

- ◆ The trails, natural play area and amphitheater.
- ◆ Arboretum, amphitheater, wildflower area.
- ◆ Amphitheater, dog park, RV Park
- ◆ Trails, natural play area, amphitheater.
- ◆ Dog park, camping, hiking
- ◆ Amphitheater, playground, trails
- ◆ Walking Trails, disc golf, using amphitheater for concerts and shows
- ◆ Nature trails, access to fishing areas, larger events
- ◆ Trails, biking, playground area
- ◆ Camping, golf disc, playground
- ◆ Trails, disc golf, amphitheater
- ◆ Run
- ◆ Walk, run
- ◆ Run, use playground
- ◆ Dog park, trails, playground
- ◆ Dog park, trails, county fair, amphitheater
- ◆ Concerts, horse shoes, disc golf
- ◆ Music at the amphitheater, disc golf, trails – yes!
- ◆ Nature walk, dog park, music events
- ◆ Bull riding, Fair, rodeo
- ◆ Dog park, amphitheater
- ◆ Evening concerts, outdoor old movies
- ◆ Dog park, walking around park – use of trails.
- ◆ 4-H activities, hiking
- ◆ Walking, riding, dog park
- ◆ Disc golf sledding
- ◆ Hiking, festivals
- ◆ Playgrounds, disc golf, trails
- ◆ Concerts, fireworks, trails
- ◆ Concerts in the amphitheater, dog park, nature walks
- ◆ Disc golf with older kids, playground for younger kids
- ◆ Scouting activities, dog run activities, hiking/ education/picnic
- ◆ Dog shows, exhibits
- ◆ Fair, trails, amphitheater
- ◆ Hiking, disc golf, dog park – especially!
- ◆ I would use for livestock sales if you would put in larger livestock barn and a show/sale
- ◆ Biking, hiking, concerts
- ◆ Picnicking, County Fair
- ◆ Animal exhibits, horse shows (at Fair and throughout year), visits to trail area (hopefully trails accommodate walkers and bikers)
- ◆ Amphitheater, dog park
- ◆ Training for runs 10k-1/2 marathon, taking dog out to play, County Fair
- ◆ Walking, sitting in the shade, looking at the flowers
- ◆ Amphitheater for concerts or programs, trail arboretum viewing
- ◆ Fair, open space
- ◆ Dog park, amphitheater, basketball
- ◆ Disc golf, prairie/wildflower demonstration area, volley ball

- ◆ Horses, livestock, carnival
- ◆ Wildflower area, Native American history
- ◆ Carnival, fireworks
- ◆ Fair, windmill trail, dog park
- ◆ Education signage, soft surface trails, exhibit building and covered arena
- ◆ Running the trails, dog park, picnic area.
- ◆ I'd bring "Dock Dogs" back. It required more participation on the fair-goers part so it was more interesting.
- ◆ I would love to volunteer/be part of the creation of this area.

### ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.

- ◆ Prefer RV Park for some income.
- ◆ Like to have more winter events for x-country skiing, ice skating, ski slope like Ruby Hill
- ◆ Please hurry while my kids are still kids! – Thanks.
- ◆ Love the building.
- ◆ The present building is gorgeous!
- ◆ Both look great
- ◆ Keep it free!
- ◆ Take your time on the Extension Office. Littleton is more convenient.
- ◆ Recent news reports are documenting ecological problems occurring at Cherry Creek State Park. The dog run areas are over crowded and over used. This shows a need for people and dogs. The municipal dog parks are a way too small for a satisfactory hike and dog socialization. Dog that socialize well (especially adolescent dogs) adapt better to our suburban life styles. Please consider needs shift as Arapahoe County becomes less agricultural and more suburban.
- ◆ Design D2 RV's are perhaps too dense and too close to animals – small campers for 4-H'rs are helpful during Fair. Off-season RV's is interesting concepts as money maker, but requires additional upkeep expense.
- ◆ Whatever you do, start by planting lots of trees, hundreds of them!!!
- ◆ The fairgrounds should be a priority for the County Commissioners as it is an amenity for the entire County. It is not just another park.

